

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

IN RE:

DESTILERIA NACIONAL, INC.

Debtor

CASE NO. 20-01247 (ESL)

CHAPTER 11

OPINION AND ORDER

This case is before the court upon the motion filed by Banco Popular de Puerto Rico (“BPPR”) informing the receipt by Destilería Nacional, Inc. (“Debtor”) of Payment Protection Program Loan (“PPP”) funds and request that the same be allowed as an administrative expense priority claim (dkt. #61). BPPR alleges that it “inadvertently approved the PPP Loan” requested on April 23, 2020, without court approval and after petition date. On May 5, 2020 BPPR disbursed the amount of \$88,500.00. BPPR further alleges that the Debtor is ineligible for the PPP Loan or for forgiveness of the PPP Loan. The Debtor filed a qualified objection to BPPR’s motion arguing that the Debtor was eligible to receive PPP funds pursuant to the Coronavirus Aid, relief, and Economic Security Act (“CARES Act”) at the time the request was made; a request for contract rescission and recovery of money requires the filing of an adversary proceeding; PPP funds are a grant and not a loan; and the Debtor made no misrepresentations in the application for the PPP funds (dkt. #65). The Debtor does not have an objection that any “unforgiven amount of the Debtor’s PPP Loan be considered an administrative expense under the same terms and condition of the CARES Act, as amended.” BPPR replied to Debtor’s objection clarifying that it “is not seeking to modify the PPP Loan, but merely requesting the allowance of administrative expenses for the estate’s benefit in connection therewith . . .” (dkt. #70). The Debtor sur-replied alleging that BPPR’s action goes against the Administrative Procedures Act and state law on contract construction, and also against the CARES Act (dkt. #77).

1           Background

2           The contested matter described above was scheduled for a pretrial to be held on October  
3 6, 2020. The parties filed a joint pretrial report on October 1, 2020 (dkt. #86) detailing the  
4 undisputed and disputed facts, as well as the legal position of each party.

5           The pretrial minutes (dkt. #91 ) show the following notes and order:

6  
7           The parties argued their positions in accordance with their respective  
8 pleadings filed with court. BPPR alleges that the Debtor was always excluded from  
9 receiving the PPP loan. Debtor contests BPPR position alleging that an application  
10 form is not a rule and that the applicable regulations at the time of requesting the  
11 loan did not bar debtors in bankruptcy from applying for the PPP loan. The Debtor  
12 further alleges that the funds were actually used for the purposes intended in the  
13 Cares Act.

14           The court stated that the allegations by the parties go beyond a request for  
15 allowing proceeds from a loan as an administrative expense, and some may require  
16 the filing of an adversary proceeding. However, the court is reluctant to at this  
17 juncture to so require in order to avoid duplicity and unnecessary expenses to the  
18 parties.

19           The United States Trustee stated its position that the Debtor must obtain  
20 approval from the court under section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code to obtain a loan  
21 by filing a motion. This was not done in this case. The court stated that such a  
22 position is relevant and may require the court to determine whether the PPP funds  
23 under the Cares Act are a loan or a grant. A legal issue which is subject to different  
24 opinions.

25           The court clarified that the present loan has special characteristics as it was  
26 made pursuant to the Payment Protection Program (PPP) of the CARES Act.  
27 Therefore, the court inquired about the following matters:

- 21           1. Has the Debtor requested loan forgiveness, pursuant to the CARES Act?  
22 The Debtor’s attorney explained that loan forgiveness was requested through BPPR  
23 but that the request has not been granted or denied.
- 24           2. Is BPPR the party in interest authorized to determine ineligibility after  
25 the loan has been approved and disbursed?
- 26           3. Has SBA made a claim against BPPR for this specific loan?
- 27           4. Is there a difference between the form, the law, and the applicable rules  
between the moment the application was submitted (April 23, 2020) to the moment  
the loan agreement was signed?

1 5. Is the default alleged by BPPR exclusively related to the bankruptcy,  
2 irrespective if it is in “bankruptcy” at the moment of the filing of the application or  
3 at the moment the loan agreement was executed? The court clarified that, if the  
4 bankruptcy is the only criteria to determine the default, then it will need to address  
5 the anti-discrimination provisions in Section 525 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6 6. The court asked BPPR if the issue of “ineligibility” is not contradictory  
7 to the request of administrative expenses?

8 In response to the court’s inquiry, the parties agreed that a scenario of loan  
9 forgiveness would change the current scenario.

10 Additionally, and upon the inquiry of the court, the Debtor’s attorney agreed  
11 that the Debtor’s estate had benefited from the loan. However, the loan was under  
12 the Payment Protection Program of the CARES Act.

13 Although the parties posed preliminary answers to some of the questions,  
14 the parties were allowed 21 days to simultaneously brief the issues in supplemental  
15 briefs, and 21 days to reply thereafter. The court asked the parties as to any  
16 contested factual issues pending. Attorney Capdevila clarified that he understands  
17 that the motive for granting the loan is contested. The Debtor’s attorney argues that  
18 the loan was approved because the Debtor was eligible at the time of the  
19 application. BPPR alleges that the loan was approved by mistake and because of  
20 Debtor’s misrepresentations.

21 ORDER

22 The Debtor and BPPR shall file simultaneous supplemental briefs within  
23 twenty-one (21) days. The Debtor, BPPR and the United States Trustee (at its  
24 discretion) may file replies within twenty-one (21) days thereafter. After  
25 submitting the briefs, the matter will be deemed submitted to the court.

26 BPPR filed its supplemental memorandum of law on November 20, 2020 (dkt. #112) and  
27 so did the Debtor (dkt. #113). The Debtor filed a memorandum of law in response to the United  
States Trustee’s position (dkt. #118) and the United States Trustee replied on December 23, 2020  
(dkt. #125).

Facts

In the joint pretrial report, the parties agreed that the following facts were uncontested:

1. On March 6, 2020 (the “Petition Date”) the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief  
pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 11 of the Code.

1           2. On March 13, 2020, the President of the United States declared a national emergency  
2 because of the novel COVID-19 virus.

3           3. In response to such emergency, an economic stimulus was introduced and approved,  
4 the CARES Act, to provide emergency assistance and health care response for individuals,  
5 families, and businesses affected by the 2020 coronavirus pandemic. In such Act, Congress  
6 created a payroll protection program to provide payroll protection and tasked the Small Business  
7 Administration (“SBA”) to provide certain regulations on the administration of the PPP Loan  
8 funds.

9           4. After the Petition Date, on April 23, 2020, the Debtor filled an application (the “PPP  
10 Loan Application”) requesting a loan under the Payroll Protection Program of the SBA, which  
11 loan was granted under loan number 101-0900-3079902-9001 (the “PPP Loan”).

12           a. The PPP Loan Application, inquires whether the applicant is involved in any  
13 bankruptcy, expressly stating that, if such question was answered in the affirmative, the loan  
14 would not be approved.

15           b. Upon this inquiry, the Debtor expressly disclosed its bankruptcy status.

16           c. In the PPP Loan Application, the Debtor certified that “[t]he applicant is eligible to  
17 receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time this application is submitted that have been  
18 issued by the Small Business administration (SBA) implementing the Payment Protection  
19 Program [...]”

20           d. In the PPP Loan Application, the Debtor further certified that it was eligible pursuant  
21 to 13 C.F.R. 121.201.

22           5. Thereafter, BPPR approved the PPP Loan, and on May 5, 2020 executed a Payroll  
23 Protection Program Loan Note (the “PPP Note”) and Loan Agreement (the “PPP Loan  
24 Agreement” and together with the PPP Note and the PPP Loan Application, the “PPP Loan  
25 Documents”) with the Debtor, disbursing the amount of \$88,500.00 (the “PPP Funds”) in  
26 connection therewith.

27

1 a. The PPP Loan Agreement contains the following clauses and representations: a.  
2 “Borrower represents and warrants to Lender that it meets all qualifications for participation in  
3 the PPP set forth in the Act and further meets any and all other requirements or conditions for  
4 participation set forth by the SBA.”

5 b. “Borrower further represents and certifies to Lender as follows: [...] Borrower meets  
6 the requirements as an eligible borrower under the PPP, and there are no events or other  
7 circumstances of the Borrower that would render it ineligible for a PPP Loan.”

8 c. “Borrower understands, acknowledges and agrees that Lender is relying solely on  
9 Borrower’s representations, warranties, certifications, confirmations or other statements of, and  
10 information from, the Borrower and/or any of its affiliates, officers, directors, owners, principals,  
11 agents, and/or controlling persons as to the Borrower, its business or activities, its eligibility for  
12 the proposed Loan...”

13 6. The Debtor deposited the PPP Funds in a separate bank account (the “PPP Account”)  
14 and commenced making disbursements from the loan proceeds to cover payroll, rent or utility  
15 expenses.

16 7. Debtor’s Monthly Operating Report for the period of May 2020 reveals the deposit in  
17 the account ending in 9001 for the amount of \$88,500.00.

18 8. On July 24, 2020, BPPR filed a Motion to Inform Debtor’s receipt of Payment  
19 Protection Program Loan and Request for Allowance of Administrative Expense Priority Claim  
20 (the “Motion”). On August 7, 2020, the Debtor filed an objection (the “Objection”) to the Motion.

21 9. On August 14, 2020, BPPR filed its Reply (the “Reply”) to the Objection, and on  
22 August 28, 2020 the Debtor filed its Sur-Reply to the Reply (the “Sur-Reply” and together with  
23 the Reply, the Objection and the Motion, the “Administrative Expense Contested Pleadings”).

24 10. On August 14, 2020, the Court entered an Order scheduling a Pre-Trial Conference in  
25 connection with the Administrative Expense Contested Pleadings.

26 In addition to the above, the court finds that the following facts are uncontested:

27 1. The Debtor did not seek court approval to solicit the PPP Loan.

1           2. The Debtor requested BPPR for loan forgiveness on September 9, 2020.

2           3. BPPR alleges that it is the entity authorized to determine ineligibility of a borrower for  
3 a PPP loan and has determined that the Debtor is ineligible as it made the request while being in  
4 bankruptcy, it will not process the forgiveness under the PPP Loan of the Debtor.

5           Applicable Statutory Provisions Related to the PPP Program

6           Congress enacted, and the President signed into law on March 27, 2020, the CARES Act  
7 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Section 1102, of the CARES Act created the PPP by  
8 amending “Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. § 636(a))” to add new subparagraph  
9 (36). See, CARES Act § 1102; 15 U.S.C. § 636(a)(36). The PPP provides that an eligible small  
10 business may obtain a guaranteed loan to cover certain expenses, including “payroll costs,”  
11 “interest on any mortgage obligation,” “rent,” and “utilities.” CARES Act § 1102(a)(2); 15 U.S.C.  
12 § 636(a)(36)(F)(i)”. The CARES Act provides that PPP loans may be forgiven under certain  
13 circumstances, but forgiveness is not automatic. CARES Act § 1106. To receive forgiveness of a  
14 PPP loan, the borrower must submit an “application” for forgiveness to the lender servicing the  
15 PPP loan along with certain certifications regarding how PPP funds were spent. CARES Act §  
16 1106; 15 U.S.C. § 9005(e).

17           The CARES Act also granted to the SBA, “emergency rulemaking authority” over the  
18 PPP, directing the Administrator to issue regulations to carry out the program without regard to  
19 typical notice requirements. CARES Act § 1114; 15 U.S.C. § 9012; see also, 15 U.S.C. §§  
20 633(a),(b)(1)(7) (placing management of the SBA in the hands of the Administrator); see also, 15  
21 U.S.C. § 633(d)(the SBA shall “establish general policies ... which shall govern the granting and  
22 denial of application for financial assistance by the SBA.”).

23           The Small Business Administration has issued four interim rules on the PPP program. The  
24 SBA had issued three interim final rules (the “Interim Rules”) for the PPP Program at the time  
25 the Debtor applied for the PPP Loan. The First Interim Rule addressed PPP eligibility and minimal  
26 lending requirements for a PPP loan, without addressing the topic of bankruptcy, but required the  
27 applicant to submit SBA Form 2438 (Paycheck Protection Program Application Form), which

1 requires a borrower to certify, among other things, that the applicant is not “presently involved in  
2 any bankruptcy.” 85 Fed. Reg. 20811, Art. III. § 2(q). The Second and Third Interim Rules explain  
3 other rules and procedures but do not address the topic of bankruptcy. See, 85 Fed. Reg. 20817  
4 (April 15, 2020); 85 Fed. Reg. 21747-1 (April 20, 2020).

5 On April 28, 2020, the SBA issued the Fourth Interim Rule, clarifying that bankruptcy  
6 debtors are ineligible to participate in the PPP Program by providing the following:

7 Will I be approved for a PPP loan if my business is in bankruptcy?  
8

9 No. If the applicant or the owner of the applicant is the debtor in a  
10 bankruptcy proceeding, either at the time it submits the application or at any time  
11 before the loan is disbursed, the applicant is ineligible to receive a PPP loan....The  
12 Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary, determined that providing PPP  
13 loans to debtors in bankruptcy would present an unacceptably high risk for an  
14 unauthorized use of funds or non-repayment of unforgiven loans.

15 None of the first three interim rules rendered “debtors bankrupt” ineligible to receive the  
16 PPP Loan nor made them ineligible for “loan forgiveness” under the CARES Act. The only  
17 relevant requirement was in SBA Form 2438, which provides that if the applicant answers “yes”  
18 to the question of being presently involved in any bankruptcy, then the loan will not be approved.  
19 The Debtor answered in the affirmative, but the loan was approved, and the funds disbursed.

20 It was not until April 28, 2020, when the Fourth Interim Rule was published, that the SBA  
21 issued a rule making debtors in bankruptcy ineligible. Hence, at the time of the Debtor filed its  
22 application there was no regulatory ban. It is contested that the Debtor received the PPP Funds on  
23 May 5, 2020, after SBA’s Forth Interim Rule was in effect. Therefore, the SBA’s Fourth Interim  
24 Rule was not in effect when the Debtor applied for the PPP Funds, but was in effect when Banco  
25 Popular disbursed the funds.

26 On June 5, 2020, the President signed the Paycheck Protection Program Flexibility Act of  
27 2020 (Pub. L. 1161-142) (the “Flexibility Act”). This Flexibility Act was enacted and signed to

1 modify certain provisions of the CARES Act related to the forgiveness provision of any PPP Loan.  
2 To this end, the SBA amended their First Loan Forgiveness Rule (85 F.R. 33004, 330006) to state  
3 that “[f]or the purpose of loan forgiveness, the covered period is the 24-week period beginning on  
4 the date the lender disburses the PPP Loan. Alternatively, a borrower that received the PPP loan  
5 before June 5, 2020 may elect for the covered period to end eight weeks after the date of  
6 disbursement” 85 F.R. 38305, 38306.

7 PPP Loans and Bankruptcy

8 The SBA administers the PPP Loan Program and its eligibility requirements. 15 U.S.C. §  
9 636(a). In the CARES Act, Congress authorized SBA’s rulemaking power. 15 U.S.C. §9012. The  
10 SBA has issued four implementing rules, two of which are relevant to the controversy before the  
11 court, the first and the fourth. The first interim rule did not address the eligibility of bankruptcy  
12 debtors but required applicants to submit SBA Form 2483 which specifically provides that if the  
13 applicant answers “Yes” to the question of being involved in any active bankruptcy, then the PPP  
14 Loan will not be approved. The fourth interim final rule issued on April 28, 2020 specifically  
15 provides that bankruptcy debtors are not eligible for PPP Loans.  
16  
17

18 The SBA rules are within its authority and are not arbitrary and capricious. Therefore,  
19 bankruptcy debtors are not eligible to be approved a PPP Loan. In re Gateway Radiology  
20 Consultants, P.A., 983 F.3d 1239 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. December 22, 2020). See also In re Penobscot Valley  
21 Hospital, 2020 WL 3032939, 68 Bankr.Ct.Dec. 220 (Bankr. D. Me. June 3, 2020).

22 This court adopts and follows the reasoning by U. S. Bankruptcy Judge Michael A. Fagone  
23 in In re Penobscot Valley Hospital, 2020 WL 3032939 (Bankr. Me. June 3, 2020), holding that a  
24 PPP Loan under the CARES Act is a loan and not a grant and that the bankruptcy exclusion for  
25 providing PPP loans does not violate the anti-discrimination provisions of section 525 of the  
26 Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §525. “The PPP is not a grant that is similar to a license, permit,  
27



1 charter, or franchise. The PPP is not a permission granted by the government to allow persons to  
2 engage in economic activity; it is a government-guaranteed program of credit extension on  
3 generous terms with forgiveness features intended to aid small businesses and incentivize them to  
4 retain employees during an unprecedented economic downturn.” See adoption by the U. S. District  
5 Court for the District of Maine in Penobscot Valley Hospital v. Carranza, 620 B.R. 1 (D. Me.  
6 2020); and Additional Proposed Findings and Conclusions in In re Penobscot Valley Hospital,  
7 2021 WL 150412 (Bankr. Me. January 12, 2021).

8  
9 The parties have not updated their legal arguments with the new bankruptcy relief  
10 provisions in the 2021 Federal Appropriations Act. The court finds that the same do not affect the  
11 outcome of this case considering its factual scenario.

12 The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (CARES Act II) was signed into law on  
13 December 27, 2020. There is a provision which amends section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code. PPP  
14 Loans are now available to debtors filing under Subchapter V of Chapter 11, Chapter 12 debtors,  
15 and chapter 13 debtors. The same does not address eligibility of chapter 11 debtors not filing under  
16 Subchapter V. This provision will be available only if the SBA Administrator sends a letter to the  
17 Executive Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustee acquiescing to PPP loans in  
18 bankruptcy. If the loan is not forgiven, it will be treated as a super priority administrative expense  
19 in the Chapter 11 proceeding. This provision sunsets on December 27, 2022. Since the Debtor did  
20 not file under Subchapter V and the court is not aware of the letter by the SBA Administrator, the  
21 change does not affect the Debtor in this case.  
22

23  
24 The CARES Act II amends section 525 of the Bankruptcy Code to provide that no person  
25 may be denied relief under three conditions: the foreclosure moratorium and right to request  
26 forbearance (15 U.S.C. § 9056); the forbearance of mortgage payments for multifamily properties  
27 (15 U.S.C. § 9057); and the temporary moratorium on eviction filings (15 U.S.C. § 9058). This

1 provision sunsets on December 27, 2021. These conditions are not present in the instant case.  
2 Thus, the court concludes that the actions by SBA are not discriminatory under the provisions of  
3 section 525 of the Bankruptcy Code.

4 Section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code

5 The Debtor argues that Section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code is not applicable because it  
6 “only requires that the Trustee or Debtor in Possession obtains leave to obtain post-petition credit  
7 to the extent that such post-petition loan will be given administrative expense status.” The Debtor  
8 contends that in this case, under the “CARES Act the PPP Funds are not loans but grants.  
9 Alternatively, even if the PPP Funds were considered a loan and not a grant, leave from Court is  
10 not required under Section 364(a).”  
11

12 Sections 364(a) and (b) state as follows:

13 (a) If the trustee is authorized to operate the business of the debtor under  
14 section 721, 1108, 1183, 1184, 1203, 1204, or 1304 of this title, unless the court  
15 orders otherwise, the trustee may obtain unsecured credit and incur unsecured debt  
16 in the ordinary course of business allowable under section 503(b)(1) of this title as  
an administrative expense.

17 (b) The court, after notice and a hearing, may authorize the trustee to obtain  
18 unsecured credit or to incur unsecured debt other than under subsection (a) of this  
section, allowable under section 503(b)(1) of this title as an administrative expense.

19 Thus, prior-court authorization to obtain post-petition credit under § 364(b) is required if  
20 the transaction is not “in the ordinary course of business.” Application for a PPP Loan to provide  
21 emergency assistance and health care response for persons affected by the 2020 coronavirus  
22 pandemic is clearly not in the ordinary course of business. Contrariwise, the PPP Loan program  
23 exists because there are extraordinary circumstances. Therefore, since the court has concluded  
24 that PPP loans are, as the name indicates, a loan, an application under section 363 is required,  
25 irrespective of eligibility issues.  
26  
27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Since the funds were admittedly used for the payment of employee salaries and utilities, the same are actual and necessary expenses to preserve the state and meet the criteria in section 503(b), 11 U.S.C. § 503(b).

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, the motion filed by BPPR requesting that the \$88,500.00 disbursed to the Debtor be allowed as an administrative expense priority claim is hereby granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021.



Enrique S. Lamoutte  
United States Bankruptcy Judge